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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The 2-dimensional consecutive- $k$-out-of- $n: F$ system was introduced by Salvia \& Lasher [1] by generalizing the notion of the consecutive- $k$-out-of- $n: \mathrm{F}$ system [2,3]. It consists of a square grid of size $n$ (containing $n^{2}$ components) and fails if and only if there is at least one square grid of size $k(1<k \leq n)$ whose components are failed. This system has recently received extensive research interest, a fact mainly due to its applicability in various areas such as safety monitoring systems, design of electronic devices, disease diagnosis and pattern detection.

For a coherent system whose minimal cut scts have been specified, the classical lower bound is the one obtained by Esary \& Proschan [4] (see also Barlow \& Proshan [5]). In a 2-dimensional consecutive- $k$-outof $-n: F$ system the minimal cut sets consist of $k^{2}$ components placed on a rectangular $k x k$ grid. Therefore, denoting by $p_{i j}\left(q_{i j}=1-p_{i j}\right)$ the survival (failure) probability of system's components we deduce the following lower bound

$$
L B_{E S P}=\prod_{i=1}^{n-k+1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-k+1}\left(1-\prod_{\mu=1}^{\left.i+k-1 / \prod_{1=j}^{+k-1} q_{\mu 1}\right), ~(1)}\right.
$$

Salvia \& Lasher [1] suggested an alternative lower bound (obtained by employing a "binomial type" argument) whereas Koutras, Papadopoulos \& Papastavridis [6] used the celebrated Chen-Stein method to approximate a 2 -dimensional consecutive- $k$-out-of $-n$ : F system's reliability by an exponential type lower bound. Finally, Barbour, Chryssaphinou \& Roos [7] gave a compound Poisson local Chen-Stein lower bound for the same quantity. Extensive numerical experimentation revealed that the classical Esary \& Proschan [4] lower bound is in general performing quite well and in view of its simplicity is certainly preferable over all other bounds.

The first upper bound for a 2 -dimensional consecutive- $k$-out-of- $n$ :F system's reliability was the one given by Salvia \& Lasher [1]. As pointed out by Ksir [8] later, this bound was incorrect and although the error for high reliability systems is negligible, it can only serve as an approximation and not as an upper
bound. Several upper bounds were subsequently proposed by Koutras, Papadopoulos \& Papastavridis [6] Fu \& Koutras [9] [10] Barbour, Chryssaphinou \& Roos [7].

The purpose of the present work is to present a simple upper bound which is expressed in terms of a 1 dimensional consecutive- $k$-out-of- $n: \mathrm{F}$ system. Its derivation is based on the chain rule of probabilities and makes effective use of the specific structure of the system.

## 2. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Before advancing to the statement and proof of our main result let us introduce the necessary notations and give two lemmas which are instrumental for the development of our upper bound.

We denote by $\Delta_{i n}$ the event that in the system consisting of rows $i-k+1, \ldots, i(i \geq k)$ and columns $1,2, \ldots, n$, there is no grid of size $k x k$ (or greater rectangular) with all its components down. Let also $G_{i}$ be the event that in row $i-k(i \geq k+1)$ there are no $k$ or more consecutive components, which are failed and $B_{i}$ the event that in the system consisting of rows $1,2, \ldots, i(i \geq k+1)$ and columns $1,2, \ldots, n$, there is no grid of size $k x k$ or greater with all its components down. In the sequel, we shall use the notation $A^{\prime}$ for the complement of any event $A$.
LEMMA 2.1. If $A, B, C$ are three arbitrary events, then

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\{A \mid B\} \geq \operatorname{Pr}\{A \mid B C\} \cdot \operatorname{Pr}\{C \mid B\}
$$

## PROOF. Manifestly

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\{A \mid B C\} \operatorname{Pr}\{C \mid B\}=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}\{A B C\} \cdot \operatorname{Pr}\{C B\}}{\operatorname{Pr}\{B C\} \cdot \operatorname{Pr}\{B\}}=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}\{A B C\}}{\operatorname{Pr}\{B\}} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Pr}\{A B\}}{\operatorname{Pr}\{B\}}=\operatorname{Pr}\{A \mid B\}
$$

LEMMA 2.2. For all $i \geq k+1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a. } \operatorname{Pr}\left\{G_{i} \mid B_{i-1}\right\} \geq \operatorname{Pr}\left\{G_{i}\right\} \\
& \text { b. } \operatorname{Pr}\left\{B_{i}^{\prime} \mid B_{i-1} G_{1}\right\}=\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\Delta_{i n}^{\prime}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

PROOF. Part (a) is obvious. To prove part (b) it suffices to observe that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{B_{i}^{\prime} \mid B_{i-1} G_{i}\right\}=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}\left\{B_{i}^{\prime} B_{i-1} G_{i}\right\}}{\operatorname{Pr}\left\{B_{i-1} G_{i}\right\}}=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\Delta_{m}^{\prime} B_{i-k} G_{i}\right\}}{\operatorname{Pr}\left\{B_{i-k} G_{i}\right\}}
$$

and make use of the independency of events $\Delta_{i j}^{\prime}, B_{t-k} G_{i}$.
THEOREM 2.1. Let $2 \leq k \leq n$ and $t$ be a fixed positive real number. For the system's life time $T$ we have,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\{T>t\} \leq \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\Delta_{k n}\right\} \prod_{i=k+1}^{n}\left(1-\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\Delta_{i n}^{\prime}\right\} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{G_{i}\right\}\right)
$$

PROOF. It is evident that the sequence $B_{i}, i=k, k+1, \ldots, n$ is monotone decreasing and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}\{T>t\}=\operatorname{Pr}\left\{B_{n}\right\}=\operatorname{Pr}\left\{B_{k}\right\} \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{B_{i} \mid B_{i-1}\right\}=\operatorname{Pr}\left\{B_{k}\right\} \prod_{i=k+1}^{n}\left(1-\operatorname{Pr}\left\{B_{i}^{\prime} \mid B_{i-1}\right\}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, Lemma 2.1 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{B_{i}^{\prime} \mid B_{i-1}\right\} \geq \operatorname{Pr}\left\{B_{i}^{\prime} \mid B_{i-1} G_{i}\right\} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{G_{i} \mid B_{i-1}\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1 follows now immediately by making use of Lemma 2.2 and (2.1)-(2.2).
It is noteworthy that the probability $\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\Delta_{i n}\right\}, i=k, k+1, \ldots n$, is the reliability $R\left(k, n, Q_{i j}\right)$ of a linear 1-dimensional consecutive- $k$-out-of- $n: F$ system with component's failure probabilities

$$
Q_{i j}=\prod_{\mu=i-k+1}^{1} q_{k j} \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

and $\operatorname{Pr}\left\{G_{i}\right\}$, is the reliability $R\left(k, n, q_{1-k, j}\right)$ of a linear 1 -dimensional consecutive- $k$-out-of- $n:$ F system with component's failure probabilities $q_{i-k, j}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$.

Therefore, Theorem 2.1 could be restated as
COROLLARY 2.1. Let $R$ be the reliability of a 2 -dimensional consecutive- $k$-out-of- $n$ : F system with independent but not necessarily identically distributed components. Then

$$
R \leq R\left(k, n, Q_{k j}\right) \cdot \prod_{i-k+1}^{n}\left\{1-\left[1-R\left(k, n, Q_{i j}\right)\right] \cdot R\left(k, n, q_{i-k, j}\right)\right\}=U B
$$

Our extensive numerical experimentation indicated that in most cases our upper bound provides quite a good approximation of the actual system's reliability. No direct theoretical comparison is available for the upper bounds proposed so far, i.e. our bound ( $U B$ ), Koutras et al's [6] bound ( $U B_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{s}}$ ), Fu \& Koutras's [9] bound ( $U B_{F \& K}$ ), and Barbour et al's [7] bound ( $U C P$ ).

Tables 1 and 2 present values of $L B_{E \mathscr{P} P}, U B, U B_{C-s}, U B_{F \& K}, U C P$ for scveral of $n$ and $k$, and component reliabilities

$$
\text { I. } p_{y}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0.7 \text { if } i+j \text { odd } \\
0.75 \text { if } i+j \text { even }
\end{array} \quad \text { II. } p_{u}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0.5 & \text { if }|i-j| \leq 1 \\
1-\frac{1}{|i-j|} & \text { if }
\end{array}|i-j|>1\right.\right.
$$

respectively. We mention that in these cases where the Chen-Stein upper bounds exceeded 1 , the respective entry in the table was set 1.

Table 1. Lower and upper bounds for the reliability of a 2 -dimensional consecutive-k-out-of-n:F system with

| $n$ | $k$ | $L B_{\text {E. } P}$ | UB | $U B_{F \& K}$ | $U B_{C-S}$ | UCP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2 | 0.9777 | 0.9799 | 0.9834 | 0.9978 | 0.9804 |
| 5 | 2 | 0.9137 | 0.9319 | 0.9448 | 0.9915 | 0.9362 |
| 5 | 3 | 0.9999 | 0.9999 | 0.9999 | 0.9999 | 0.9999 |
| 10 | 2 | 0.6332 | 0.7723 | 0.7708 | 0.9637 | 0.7773 |
| 10 | 3 | 0.9994 | 0.9995 | 0.9997 | 0.9996 | 0.9995 |
| 10 | 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 20 | 2 | 0.1305 | 0.5410 | 0.3292 | 0.9139 | 0.6907 |
| 20 | 3 | 0.9972 | 0.9979 | 0.9984 | 0.9978 | 0.9973 |
| 20 | 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 50 | 2 | 0.0000 | 0.4788 | 0.0007 | 0.9009 | 1.0000 |
| 50 | 3 | 0.9802 | 0.9907 | 0.9893 | 0.9848 | 0.9811 |
| 50 | 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |

Table 2. Lower and upper bounds for the reliability of a 2 -dimensional consecutive-k-out-of-n:F system with

| $n$ | $k$ | $L B_{\text {E\&P }}$ | $U B$ | $U B_{F \ell K}$ | $U B_{C-S}$ | UCP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2 | 0.7725 | 0.8297 | 0.8661 | 1.0000 | 0.9185 |
| 5 | 2 | 0.4302 | 0.6635 | 0.7156 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 3 | 0.9881 | 0.9904 | 0.9942 | 1.0000 | 0.9904 |
| 10 | 2 | 0.0872 | 0.4851 | 0.4342 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 10 | 3 | 0.9611 | 0.9743 | 0.9851 | 1.0000 | 0.9717 |
| 10 | 4 | 0.9999 | 0.9999 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9999 |
| 20 | 2 | 0.0035 | 0.2948 | 0.1567 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 20 | 3 | 0.9091 | 0.9447 | 0.9672 | 1.0000 | 0.9360 |
| 20 | 4 | 0.9998 | 0.9998 | 0.9999 | 0.9999 | 0.9980 |
| 50 | 2 | 0.0000 | 0.0742 | 0.0074 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 50 | 3 | 0.7695 | 0.8617 | 0.9154 | 1.0000 | 0.8404 |
| 50 | 4 | 0.9993 | 0.9994 | 0.9997 | 0.9997 | 0.7160 |
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